



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,535	12/06/2001	Jong-ryull Kim	1293.1286	4414
21171	7590	03/24/2005	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ORTIZ CRIADO, JORGE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2655	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/004,535	KIM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jorge L Ortiz-Criado	2655

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 November 2004.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
2. Claims 1-8 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Izumi et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,567,355.

Regarding claim 1, Izumi et al. a method of controlling the balance of a photodetector in an optical recording and/or reproducing apparatus having first and second light sources in a single module (see col. 19, lines 1-38; Figs. 19A, 19B, 23, 41) the method comprising:

directing light supplied from the first or second light source (See Figs. 19A, 19B, 23, 41, “photo detector” ref # 9,7002,) Band

transmitted through a holographic optical element (See Figs. 19A, 19B, 23, 41, ref # 30,7010,7012)

an optical path changing unit (See Figs. 19A, 19B, 23, 41, ref # 4,7003)

and an objective lens onto a disk corresponding to each light source (See Figs. 19A, 19B, 23, 41, ref # 6,7006),

transmitting the light reflected from the corresponding disk through the objective lens, and the optical path changing unit to the photodetector (See Figs. 19A, 19B, 23, 41)

moving the photodetector so that a center of a first spot received from said first light source by the photodetector is concentric with the center of the photodetector (See col. 20, lines 44-61; “the position of the detecting part is determined to correspond with the light of the first light source and its moved to a predetermined position with respect to the first light source”); and moving the holographic optical element so that a center of a second received spot received from the second light source is concentric with the center of the photodetector (See col. 20, lines 1-38, col. 20 line 62 to col. 21 line15; col. 22, lines 50-67; col. 26, lines 29-40).

Regarding claims 2 and 6, Izumi et al. discloses wherein the moving of the holographic optical element comprises moving the holographic optical element in an optical axis direction to move the center of the second received spot (See col. 20, lines 1-38, col. 20 line 62 to col. 21 line15; col. 22, lines 50-67; col. 26, lines 29-40)

Regarding claim 3, Izumi et al. discloses wherein the moving of the holographic optical element comprises rotating the holographic optical element about an optical axis at a predetermined angle to move the center of the second received spot (See col. 20, lines 1-38, col. 20 line 62 to col. 21 line15; col. 22, lines 50-67; col. 26, lines 29-40)

Regarding claim 4, Izumi et al. discloses wherein the moving of the holographic optical element comprises rotating the holographic optical element about an optical axis at a predetermined angle to move the center of the second received spot (See col. 20, lines 1-38, col. 20 line 62 to col. 21 line15; col. 22, lines 50-67; col. 26, lines 29-40)

Regarding claim 5, Izumi et al. discloses a method of controlling the balance of a photodetector in an optical recording and/or reproducing device having first and second light sources fixed in position relative to each other, the method comprising:

moving the photodetector so that first light from the first light source and reflected from a corresponding first optical disk is concentric with the photodetector (See col. 20, lines 44-61; “the position of the detecting part is determined to correspond with the light of the first light source and its moved to a predetermined position with respect to the first light source”); and

moving a holographic optical element, which does not affect an optical path of the first light, so that second light from the second light source and reflected from a corresponding second optical disk is concentric with the photodetector (See col. 20, lines 1-38, col. 20 line 62 to col. 21 line15; col. 22, lines 50-67; col. 26, lines 29-40)

Regarding claim 7, Izumi et al. discloses wherein the moving of the holographic optical element comprises rotating the holographic optical element about an optical axis at a predetermined angle to move the center of the second received spot (See col. 20, lines 1-38, col. 20 line 62 to col. 21 line15; col. 22, lines 50-67; col. 26, lines 29-40)

Regarding claim 8, Izumi et al. discloses moving the holographic optical element in an optical axis direction and rotating the holographic element about the optical axis so that the second light is concentric with the photodetector (See col. 20, lines 1-38, col. 20 line 62 to col. 21 line15; col. 22, lines 50-67; col. 26, lines 29-40)

Regarding claim 13, Izumi et al. discloses an optical recording and/or reproducing apparatus (see col. 19, lines 1-38; Figs. 19A, 19B, 23, 41) comprising:

first and second light sources fixed in position relative to each other;

a photodetector movable so that first light from the first light source and reflected from a corresponding first optical disk is concentric with the photodetector (See col. 20, lines 44-61; “the position of the detecting part is determined to correspond with the light of the first light source and its moved to a predetermined position with respect to the first light source”); and

a holographic optical element, which does not affect an optical path of the first light, movable so that second light from the second light source and reflected from a corresponding second optical disk is concentric with the photodetector (See col. 20, lines 1-38, col. 20 line 62 to col. 21 line15; col. 22, lines 50-67; col. 26, lines 29-40; Figs. 19A, 19B, 23, 41, ref # 30,7010,7012); and

an optical path changing unit directing the first and second light from the respective first and second light sources to the corresponding first and second disks, and directing the reflected first and second lights to the photodetector (See Figs. 19A, 19B, 23, 41, ref # 4,7003)

Regarding claim 14, Izumi et al. discloses wherein the holographic optical element moves in an optical axis direction so that the second light is concentric with the photodetector (See col. 20, lines 1-38, col. 20 line 62 to col. 21 line15; col. 22, lines 50-67; col. 26, lines 29-40)

Regarding claim 15, Izumi et al. discloses wherein the holographic optical element rotates about an optical axis so that the second light is concentric with the photodetector.

Regarding claim 16, Izumi et al. discloses wherein the holographic optical element moves in an optical axis direction and rotates about the optical axis so that the second light is concentric with the photodetector.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
4. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang U.S. Patent No. 6,043,911 in view of Izumi et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,567,355.

Regarding claim 9, Yang discloses an optical recording and reproducing apparatus, comprising:

an optical module having a first and a second light source to respectively emit first and second lights of different wavelengths (See col. 3, lines 1-27; Figs. 2, 4,5,6)
a holographic optical element to regulate positioning of one of the first and second lights emitted from said optical module (see col. 3, lines 44-48; Figs. 2,3,4,5,6, ref# 38);
an optical path changing unit to receive and change the path of incident light received from said holographic optical element (See Figs. 4,5,6, ref# 46);

an objective lens to receive incident light received from said optical path changing unit and focus the same on the optical recording medium (See Figs. 4,5,6, ref# 44); and a photodetector to receive first and second light spots from the light reflected from the optical recording medium and transmitted through said objective lens and said optical path changing unit (See Figs. 4,5,6, ref# 42) ;

Yang further discloses wherein the holographic optical element passes the light of one of the first and second lights without change, but diffracts and corrects the progressive path of one of the first and second lights in order to match the path of the other one of the first and second lights and to progress along the same direction (See col. 3, line 44 to col. 4, line17; Figs. 2,3). Also as shown in Fig. 4, the first and second light spots from the light reflected from the optical recording medium and transmitted through said objective lens and said optical path changing unit, are received and converged and matched into the same photodetector surface area.

Yang discloses that in order to regulate positioning of one of the first and second lights emitted from said optical module and correct and match the progressive path of the second light beam, the holographic optical element position relative to one of the first and second lights emitted from said optical module are provided.

But, Yang does not expressly disclose control the balance of a photodetector wherein the holographic optical element is movable and wherein the photodetector is movable to regulate positioning of the other one of said first and second light spots

However, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Izumi et al., which discloses an apparatus to control the balance of a photodetector to increase the light reception efficiency from an optical recording medium and controlling the balance of a photodetector in an

optical recording and/or reproducing apparatus having first and second light sources in a single module (see col. 19, lines 1-38; Figs. 19A, 19B, 23, 41)

moving the holographic optical element so that a center of one of said first and second light spots received from the second light source is concentric with the center of the photodetector (See col. 20, lines 1-38, col. 20 line 62 to col. 21 line 15; col. 22, lines 50-67; col. 26, lines 29-40).

and wherein the photodetector is movable to regulate positioning of the other one of said first and second light spots (See col. 20, lines 44-61; “the position of the detecting part is determined to correspond with the light of the first light source and its moved to a predetermined position with respect to the first light source”)

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill on the art at the time of the invention to include a movable holographic optical element and photodetector in order to regulate positioning so that a center of one of said first and second light spots received from the second light source is concentric with the center of the photodetector, expanding the degree of freedom of designing the position of the light reception area of the photodetector and outputting correctly the focus error signal and the tracking error signal, as suggested teaches by Izumi et al.

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Yang with Izumi et al. shows wherein said holographic optical element is movable in an optical axis direction to move the one of said first and second light spots received by said photodetector so that the one light spot is concentric with said photodetector (See Izumi et al. col. 20, lines 1-38, col. 20 line 62 to col. 21 line 15; col. 22, lines 50-67; col. 26, lines 29-40)

Regarding claim 11, the combination of Yang with Izumi et al. shows wherein said holographic optical element is rotatable about an optical axis at a predetermined angle to move the one of said first and second light spots received by said photodetector so that the one light spot is concentric with said photodetector (See Izumi et al. col. 20, lines 1-38, col. 20 line 62 to col. 21 line 15; col. 22, lines 50-67; col. 26, lines 29-40)

Regarding claim 12, the combination of Yang with Izumi et al. would show a grating positioned between the holographic optical element and the optical path-changing unit (See Izumi et al. Figs. 19A, 19B, 23, 41, ref # 3,7009)

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 1/04/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regard to claims 1-6, Applicant argues that Izumi et al. does not teach or suggest, "moving the photodetector" or "a photodetector movable".

The Examiner cannot concur because Izumi et al. clearly discloses that the photodetector is **positioned** so that the spot received from the first light source is illuminated at a predetermined desired position, which as shown in Fig. 10 is concentric with the center of the light reception area. As clearly pointed out, the photodetector has been **moved** in order to be positioned at a predetermined position with respect to the spot received from the first light

Art Unit: 2655

source, hence “movable”. Also, the spot received from the second light source is illuminated at a predetermined desired position, which is concentric with the center of the light reception area (see Fig. 20) by moving the holographic optical element, as claimed. (See for example col. 19, line 39 to col. 21, line 15)

Furthermore, What or How the photodetector is” moving it or being moved/being positioned”. In an attempt to find these features and readily ascertain/map the claim language in the specification, as originally filed, such a disclosure is found to be just features performed by the Applicant’s admitted prior art. (see paragraph [006]-[007]; paragraph [0017]). Applicant’s cooperation is respectfully requested.

Applicant is reminded that office personnel are to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023,1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. > E-Pass Techs., Inc. v. 3Com Corp., 343 F.3d 1364, 1369, 67 USPQ2d 1947, 1950 (Fed. Cir. 2003)

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a. JP 11-11-110785 to Kawada, which discloses a laser device for an optical recording/reproducing apparatus having a hologram for positioning light beams co-existing in the same optical axis.

b. U.S. Patent no. 6,043,935 to Lee, which discloses an optical record/reproducing apparatus including a hologram positioning light beams remaining propagated in the same optical axis.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jorge L Ortiz-Criado whose telephone number is (703) 305-8323. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thu.(8:30 am - 6:00 pm),Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris H To can be reached on (703) 305-4827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

joc



DAVID L. OMETZ
PRIMARY EXAMINER